



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Issue No. 449 (20th Year) April 15, 1965

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Subscription \$3.00 Yearly

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1890 • FOUNDER • 1963

Invasion Of South - Communist Directed

Negroes in U.S. . . . "constitute largest and most important racial target of Communist Party"

-J. Edgar Hoover

Communist forces both inside and outside the United States of America are pressing for a negro revolution in this country. The communist-inspired, communist-led, and communist-directed invasion of Mississippi and Alabama under the banner of so-called "civil rights" activities has succeeded in magnifying racial tension and capitalizing upon racial unrest to create violence and bloodshed, all in furtherance of the communist objective of weakening this nation internally to advance the day of the planned communist takeover.

On January 11, 1965, the New York Times published an article (page 26) referring to 21 lawyers who were "pledged to go to Mississippi to take depositions in efforts to oust Mississippi's 5 present members from the House of Representatives."

The New York area lawyers pledged for this deposition caravan to Mississippi included Morton Stavis, national coordinator of the group, Alan H. Levine, Ernst Rosenberger and Barney Rosenstein.

Morton Stavis was born Moses Isaac Stavis on May 26, 1915 in New York City. He changed his name legally to Morton Stavis in 1939 and now maintains a law office in Newark, N. J.

According to Senator James Eastland (Feb. 3, 1965, Congressional Record), Morton Stavis was a member of the Communist Party in 1945 and 1946 in New York and New Jersey. Senator Eastland also stated on Feb. 3 that in 1950, Stavis was a member of the Communist Party in Union County, New Jersey.

Stavis has been active in the Emergency Civil Liberties Committee from 1955-1964, during which time he has been a member of that organization's national council. "... the Emergency Civil Liberties Committee, established in 1951, although representing itself as a non-communist group, actually operates as a front for the Communist Party..." (Committee on Un-American Activities, 1958 Annual Report, House Report 187, March 9, 1959, pp. 34 & 35.)

Morton Stavis was defense attorney for Mrs. Sylvia Neff, who was convicted in May 1952, for swearing falsely to a Federal grand jury that she had no communist connections. Federal Judge Madden of Camden, N. J. had this to say about Morton Stavis: "You followed the obvious line of the Communist Party to such an extent that it was open and obvious to the jury what your methods were." (Philadelphia Evening Bulletin, May 29, 1952.)

From 1945-1962 Morton Stavis has been listed as a member of the executive board of the National Lawyers Guild. The National Lawyers Guild was cited as a communist front which "is the foremost legal bulwark of the Communist Party, its front organizations, and controlled unions" and which "since its inception has never failed to rally to the legal defense of the Communist Party and individual members thereof, including



Nicholas Katzenbach

Attorney General Nicholas Katzenbach, like Robert F. Kennedy, says the Communist Party has no control over civil rights organizations or their leaders.

known espionage agents." (Committee on Un-American Activities, House Report 3123, September 21, 1950.)

Stavis and his wife both invoked the fifth amendment before the House Committee on February 28, 1956, in refusing to answer all questions put to them regarding past or present Communist Party membership.

The San Francisco Examiner of Jan. 19, 1965 reported that several attorneys from the San Francisco area were proceeding to Mississippi to "perfect the challenge to the Mississippi congressional delegation." One group of California lawyers, which reportedly left for Mississippi on January 19, was alleged to include Alvin H. Goldstein, Benjamin Dreyfus, Edward Stern and Jack Berman.

Benjamin Ballinger Dreyfus was born in 1910 and presently maintains a law practice in his native San Francisco. He was identified on June 19, 1957, by Dr. Jack Beverly Patten, in testimony before the House Committee on Un-American Activities, as a former member of the Communist Party, U.S.A. Patten testified under oath, and identified Dreyfus as a fellow member of the professional section of the Communist Party in San Francisco in the early 1940's.

Dreyfus himself testified before the House Committee on Un-American Activities on June 21, 1956, and invoked the first and fifth amendments of the Constitution in refusing to answer questions regarding Communist Party membership.

THE ONES THEY SMEAR
ARE THE ONES THEY FEAR

Benjamin Dreyfus is a former president of the National Lawyers Guild, a cited communist front organization.

Edward Stern is a member of the San Francisco chapter of the National Lawyers Guild.

Jack Berman was a sponsor of the Los Angeles Committee for the Protection of the Foreign Born. (California Committee on Un-American Activities, 1961 Report, p. 112.) This organization is an affiliate of the American Committee for the Protection of the Foreign Born, cited as subversive and communist by former Attorney General Tom Clark (June 1, 1948; September 21, 1948.)

Two Michigan lawyers also joined in the so-called "legal task force" invasion of Mississippi—Robert Abram and Ernest Goodman.

Robert Abram has been a member of the National Lawyers Guild since at least 1961. Ernest Goodman is a legal representative of the Michigan District Communist Party. In April 1964, Goodman was the President of the National Lawyers Guild. (Congressional Record, March 18, 1965.)

The cited National Lawyers Guild was well represented in the illegal invasion of the State of Mississippi. During the summer of 1964, a total of 66 National Guild lawyers from all parts of the country descended on Mississippi for various intervals. Among them were Fred Cohn, Chicago; Pauline Epstein, New York City; Howard Feldman, N.Y.C.; David Finkel, Los Angeles; Irwin Gostin, San Diego; Sanford Katz, N.Y.C.; Marvin Kessler, N.Y.C.; Ralph Shapiro, N.Y.C.; Robert Stein, Detroit.

Also assisting in the 1964 summer invasion of Mississippi were Barry Goldstein, New York City (Barry's father, Isadore, was a partner of the convicted atom spies Julius Rosenberg and David Greenglass in early 1946); Del Greenblatt, N.Y.C.; and Rabbi Arthur J. Lelyveld, Cleveland, Ohio.

The Jewish thread throughout the so-called "civil rights" struggle is well-defined. When Martin Luther King had to admit in a Montgomery, Alabama courtroom that he did not intend to march all the way to Montgomery from Selma on March 9 in apparent defiance of a federal court order, it was NAACP attorney Jack Greenberg who pleaded his case. (Time magazine, Mar. 19, 1965). Nearly 100 officers and members of the American Jewish Congress answered King's request to join in the climax of the Selma-Montgomery march. (N.Y. News, March 24, 1965). David Dubinsky, president of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union, said on March 25 that \$15,000 was being donated by his garment workers to Martin Luther King's Southern Christian Leadership Conference. (N.Y. Journal American, March 26, 1965). Dubinsky's ILGWU healthmobile was on active duty during the Selma-Montgomery demonstrations.

—o— Please turn to page 2 —o—

Invasion of the South

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

The 141-member Alabama legislature unanimously passed a resolution stating that there had been "evidence of much fornication" at the marchers' camps and that "young women are returning to their respective states apparently as unwed expectant mothers." (Time magazine, April 2, 1965).

On April 4, 1965, a spectacle was held in the Majestic theatre, New York City, entitled "Broadway Answers Selma." Checks made payable to the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith were to benefit CORE, Martin Luther King's SCLC, the Reeb and Jackson families, and the Louis M. Rabinowitz Fund of the Student Non-violent Coordinating Committee. (N. Y. News, March 22, 1965).

The president of the Louis M. Rabinowitz Foundation is Victor Rabinowitz, who has appeared as a witness before the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee and the House Committee on Un-American Activities on a number of occasions. Each time he has invoked the fifth amendment in refusing to testify regarding his Communist Party membership. Victor Rabinowitz is an attorney who represented the Communist Government of Cuba in a case before the U. S. Supreme Court in 1963, and who is registered under the Foreign Agents Registration Act as an agent of the Castro government.

In spite of the overwhelming evidence of communist infiltration into the so-called civil rights movement, U. S. Attorney General Nicholas Katzenbach recently stated that the Communist Party has no control over civil rights organizations or their leaders and that it has been "remarkably unsuccessful in actually influencing any decisions, and certainly has not captured any of the leadership." (Sunday Star Ledger, Newark, N. J., April 4, 1965.)

One would gather that Attorney General Katzenbach is unfamiliar with the public records of Morton Stavis (Moses Stavisky), Benjamin Dreyfus, Victor Rabinowitz, etc. ad nauseum.

In the winter of 1953 the National Convention of the Communist Party, U.S.A., issued the following statement: "The next period ahead will witness momentous struggles of the negroes. Given the vanguard leadership of the Communist Party, we may be confident that the negro liberation movement will ally itself more fully with the camp of decent democracy."

In 1964 F.B.I. Director J. Edgar Hoover told the House Appropriations Committee in executive session, that negroes in the United States "today constitute the largest and most important racial target of the Communist Party." Mr. Hoover told the committee that "communist influence does exist in the Negro movement and it is this influence which is vitally important." (Sen. James Eastland, Feb. 3, 1965, Congressional Record.)

Some day the people of this nation will come to realize what they owe to the people of Mississippi and Alabama, and to the people in other areas of the South who are now being called upon to resist in their own communities the forces of racial hatred, violence and civil disorder which have been unleashed in the name of civil rights, but which serve most effectively the purposes and objectives of the world communist conspiracy.

ARE YOU GOING TO LET THEM INTIMIDATE YOU?

According to the United Press, The American Jewish Congress (AJC) has started a nation-wide campaign to counter what it calls programs of "smear and intimidation" sponsored by extremist groups.

C. Irving Dwork, national secretary of the congress, told the organization's National Governing Council yesterday that right-wing activities have increased since election.

Dwork said the AJC campaign would directly attempt to discourage contributions to ultra-rightist groups from corporations, foundations and wealthy individuals.

Zionists Direct "Civil Rights" Plot



Jack Greenberg



Abe Fortas



Adam Yarmolinsky

JACK GREENBERG

Chief counsel for NAACP who defended King's march on Selma which was in open defiance of the law. Described by N.Y. Times as "the chief legal advocate for the country's negroes in their fight for civil rights." Author of "Citizen's Guide to Desegregation" among others.

ABE FORTAS

Top White House aide, who helped draw up the infamous Gesell Report,

an integration plot to wreck the Armed Forces.

ADAM YARMOLINSKY

Former Special Assistant to Secy. of Defense, who, according to Congressman F. Edward Herbert, ordered troops integrated, and when protests were raised by negroes and whites, Yarmolinsky stated "he did not give a damn whether they wanted to be integrated or not, that they would be integrated." (Cong. Record, Aug. 7, 1963).

Don't Bite the Hand That Feeds You

Allen L. Hearst

Under a Chicago date line of November 17, 1964, Lewis H. Weinstein, President, Community Relations Advisory Council and a Boston lawyer, while speaking before a Chicago meeting of the Jewish Welfare Fund, was quoted as saying: "American Jews should take the lead in combating the Radical Right," and he continued, "Extremism did not die in last week's election; the Radical Right believes that it has lost a battle, but not the war."

"They oppose Civil Rights legislation, they decry fair legislative apportionment, they cry treason when we support the United Nations, and in the name of preserving America they would destroy American Democracy."

If Mr. Weinstein is a lawyer it seems strange that he used the word "Democracy" to describe our Constitutional form of government. Had he ever studied American History he would be aware that the first official of the United States government to ever use the word "Democracy" to describe our government was the Zionist controlled President, Woodrow Wilson.

That President Wilson was completely Zionist controlled could easily be established by Mr. Weinstein if he would take the time to read the biography of Jacob Schiff, President of Kuhn, Loeb and Company; or were he to read the published papers of Col. Mandell House, personal advisor to President Wilson; additional information can be obtained from the biography of Justice William Brandeis. It would seem from the above evidence that the use of the word "Democracy" was intentionally introduced into our lexicon for the exact usage and connotation it now has "—the Dictatorship of the Proletariat."

Mr. Weinstein, if he has studied Jewish history, must be acutely aware that the United States is the only nation in history which has not forced his fellow religionists out of its boundaries. In fact our nation has acted quite to the contrary; the first synagogue in the United States was erected in the Colony of Rhode Island early in the 18th Century.

Millions of West European Jews began early in 1944 during World War II to breach our immigration barriers and continued to do so at such a rate that by March 18, 1952, a Jewish historian and lecturer had reached a most accurate conclusion.

On the above date Dr. Cecil Roth, Jewish historian and lecturer at Oxford University, while speaking at the B'nai B'rith Jehuda Synagogue in Kansas City stated that two

thirds of the world's Jewish population lived in the United States.

At that time Dr. Roth was considered to be the foremost authority on questions relating to World Jewry. He had been in the offices of the British Information Service in New York City during and for some time after World War II. He was responsible for and directed Palestine policy. He had available in his possession the authentic population statistics of World Jewry. At no time did Dr. Roth dispute the figures published on February 22, 1948, by the Jewish owned New York Times, which in 1947 had taken a census of the total number of Jews in the world. In the Times of the above date there were published figures taken from their 1947 census which indicated a maximum total of 19,200,000 Jews in the world as of 1947. Taking Roth's estimate of two thirds of these people living in the United States, we find that over 12,000,000 Jews now live in the former Land of the Free. In 1940 there were approximately 4,000,000.

That many of those people who sought sanctuary under our constitutional form of government have tried to bite the hand that now feeds and protects them is demonstrated by their efforts to destroy our nation by subversion and political intrigue. Witness the following front organizations that have been cited by official agencies of the Federal Government that were lawfully charged with the responsibility of exposing anti-Americanism, sedition and treason wherever it might be found:

American Committee for the Settlement of Jews in Birobidjan, Inc. Cited E. O. 10450-9/28/53.

American Jewish Labor Council. Cited U. S. Attorney General 4/27/49; Senate sub-Committee 4/23/56.

Chicago Jewish Committee for Protection of Foreign Born. Cited HCUA 8/16/57.

Jewish Cultural Society. Cited E. O. 10450-7/15/53.

Jewish Peoples Committee. Cited E. O. 10450-4/29/53.

Jewish Peoples Fraternal Order. Cited E.O. 10450-4/29/53.

School of Jewish Studies (Los Angeles). Cited Subversive Activities Control Board; Docket No. 115-55 5/21/57.

School of Jewish Studies (New York). Cited Senate Security Committee 4/23/56.

United Committee of Jewish Societies. Cited E. O. 10450 7/15/53.

It is indeed difficult to understand how the Jewish people who had found sanctuary in our nation from the ghettos of Europe before the turn of the century could join such organizations as the JEWISH WORK-

Jewish Penetration of Ecumenical Council Fatal to Christian Unity

"Communism is intrinsically wrong, and no one who would save Christian civilization may collaborate with it in any undertaking whatsoever." **—Pope Pius XI**

By Fra Ottone, Rome Correspondent

The founding in Rome, Italy, October, 1964 of the Catholic-Jewish Research Center within the International University for Social Studies Pro Deo must have given reason for alarm to the entire Christian world and to other religious groups whose religion is based on Universal values and not "nationality."

To those who are deeply interested in the final accomplishments of the Ecumenical Council whose last sessions will be held in September of this year, the mentioned Center has a special significance because among the groups responsible for its institution are the same Zionist oriented Jews whose news media were almost successful in exploiting the visit of Pope Paul VI to the Holy Land.

Ralph Friedman, committee executive board chairman, representing the American Jewish Committee, stated that the "Center will help carry out . . . the growing impulse to eliminate the religious roots of bias and prejudice. . . . The Center has been established in Rome for the purpose of analyzing and combating the roots of prejudice in worldwide religious teachings."

It might be pointed out here that while the Catholic-Jewish Research Center is interested in the study of religious prejudice of all kinds, it is particularly concerned with the prejudices against the Jews (anti-Semitism); it further has the avowed purpose to "implement Ecumenical Council Vatican II initial approval of a declaration repudiating the Deicide charge against the Jews."

Since the time of the convoking of the Ecumenical Council by Pope John XXIII it should be noted that the city of Rome was host to several national Jewish political organizations whose mission was to prevail upon Cardinal Augustine Bea, President of the Secretariat for the Promotion of Christian Unity, to introduce as part of the Council's agenda a statement aimed at condemning anti-Semitism based on the Jews' role in the Crucifixion. One can wonder at the ease in which a political faction of the world Jewry has succeeded in introducing the problem of "anti-Semitism" and the charge of the culpability of the Jews for the conviction and death of Christ as part of the agenda for discussion within the Ecumenical Council.

Fortunately the success was a short-lived one for these Zionist oriented Jews who at all costs were determined to introduce a highly controversial question wholly unrelated to the aims of the Ecumenical Council. Their efforts were not fruitful since no Council statement on Jews was formally declared at the closing of the third session of the Council.

However the regrettable uproar experienced at the third session of the Council must have been dismaying to the entire Christian world that was greatly concerned with the Council's efforts in behalf of Christian unity. Reports from the Far East have informed us that "scars were left in wake of uproar over the Council's statement on Jews."

What brought forth this turmoil within the Ecumenical Council? The N. C. News Service states as follows: "The uproar in Syria by the Jewish relations has now died down. But it has left deep scars, and in the course of several weeks managed to spoil the Ecumenical atmosphere that has been years in the making. . . . The very inclusion in the text of favorable reference to



Pope Paul VI welcomes Martin Luther King, Jr. to Vatican. King belongs to over 60 communist fronts.

Jewish religion was bound to unleash a violent reaction not only on the part of the Arab government and Moslems, but also by Arab Christians, Catholic as well as Orthodox. . . . The most violent reactions occurred in Jordan, where for a time it appeared that the Catholics might leave the Church."

It would be well to recall at this time that the Council Fathers during the meetings of the second session of the Council deemed it wise to remove from the 4th Schema the vexing problems on anti-Semitism and the Deicide charge. These Council Fathers did foresee that the introduction of the mentioned problems would have brought to nought the intended visit of Pope Paul VI to the Holy Land. Because of this timely pruning of the inopportune insertion of the question on anti-Semitism the visit of Pope Paul became a fruitful one and closed it as well from the attempts of Jewish political organizations for exploitation.

However shortly following the return of Pope Paul from the Holy Land the same Jewish political groups, fearing the results of the Council's efforts for Christian unity, again renewed their efforts a hundred fold during the few months preceding the third session to bring again the question of anti-Semitism into the agenda of the Council. The leaders of these organizations—World Jewish Congress, American Jewish Committee, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith—were well aware that the introduction of the question on anti-Semitism and the charge of Deicide for discussion within the Council would lead to a rift among the Council Fathers as many of them represented Christian Churches in the Orient. These Council Fathers are sanguine in their declarations, and rightly so, that anti-Semitism experienced in the Far East does not stem per se from religious prejudices but owes its origin to the world Jewish Zionism that identifies religion with "nationality." They are adamant in stating that anti-Semitism is a by-product of Zionism. Are these Council Fathers to forget that Zionism was responsible for "fathering the State of Israel"? Are they not aware that a million or more Christian and non-Christian Arabs have

been dispossessed of all their belongings and expelled to live in the Desert of Jordan?

To political bodies nothing is sacred and any means may be employed to achieve their ends. These many Zionist oriented political organizations, supporters of world Zionism, felt that the Ecumenical Council was the highest body within the Christian Court to consider their case on anti-Semitism. It seems that the Council must have been especially convoked for the discussion of the problems and mistakes brought into the world by Zionism. These political Jewish organizations felt sure of themselves at this time and did not hesitate to put Christianity on the defensive in their attempts to have the Council Fathers openly declare that anti-Semitism stems from Christianity. And it would amount to such a declaration should the Christian Council Fathers agree with the Jewish political faiths that the charge of Deicide is a major source of anti-Semitic attitudes. Deicide is not official doctrine of the Catholic Church nor is it deeply imbedded in traditional teachings.

It is absurd for the Jewish organizations mentioned above patronizing Zionism to want to attribute all their misdemeanors and sorrows to the writings of the Evangelists recorded in the New Testament. Perhaps these political leaders of the Jewish organizations have the hidden desire to rewrite for the Christian world the "New Testament." Suffice it to say that the Evangelists in writing on the drama of the Crucifixion used the names of persons and groups solely to indicate who were the historical instruments to bring about the fulfillment of a divine drama predestined by God.

To what can one attribute at this time, a short period preceding the culmination of the present Ecumenical Council, the attempts of the Zionist oriented Jewish organizations through the Catholic-Jewish Research Center "to further implement Ecumenical Council Vatican II initial approval of a declaration repudiating the ancient Deicide charge against the Jews"?

A safe answer would be to state that these political groups feel that now is the time to consolidate their gains in behalf of Zionism and to restrain more strongly than ever the efforts of Christians in their own defense by means of an official edict emanating from the highest authority of a Christian body—the Ecumenical Council—condemning "anti-Semitism." The feeling of security enjoyed by these political leaders is perhaps warranted. The cause of Zionism has been progressing for a period better than a half century. The mission of the B'nai B'rith and its Anti-Defamation League during the past fifty years has been the stamping out of all anti-Semitism (activities prejudicial to the cause of Zionism); the forceful occupation of Palestine for the past 19 years; the creation of Council of Human Relations, Work Shops on Human Relations, Inter-faith Council work, Judeo-Christian relationships, and a host of other front organizations serving to soften up the Christian world to accept docilely the incongruous charge that anti-Semitism began with Christianity.

Good faith, to say the least, was certainly lacking on the part of the Jewish leaders who forcibly presented the question of anti-Semitism for discussion within the third session of the Ecumenical Council, causing thereby the near wrecking of the Council itself. Surely these clever politicians are well

"The Jewish religion is hostile to Christianity in general and to the CATHOLIC CHURCH in particular."

—M. J. Olgin, "The Morning Freiheit" N. Y. daily. Jan. 10, 1937 (Jewish newspaper)

Viet Nam—the Solution

Just as Generals MacArthur, Willoughby, Wedemeyer, Stratemeyer, Del Valle and other military strategists could have won the Korean War of 1950-1953 in one month if "The Establishment" had not blocked them . . . so could we win the war now in Viet Nam—fast!

Here is the U. S.—the world's greatest military power—and we cannot defeat one hundred thousand Asiatic guerillas! Isn't that ridiculous!

Solution: Turn the job over to Chiang Kai-shek and his Nationalist Chinese Army of Formosa, and we to supply the weaponry . . . and not one U. S. soldier would be lost! They could enlist Filipinos to help them and proceed as follows:

- a) Whenever a red Buddhist "monk" or "nun" threatens suicide, give them the gasoline and matches.
- b) Whenever the red "students" in Viet Nam riot in protest, slap the leaders and agitators into solitary confinement, or into island prison camps.
- c) Do likewise to every infiltrator and spy.
- d) Use flame-throwers and Aerial Napalm Fire Bombs to "burn them out" of the forests and swamplands.
- e) Completely liquidate the supply bases and airfields in North Viet Nam . . . and in Laos, if necessary.

Would Red China enter the war? Certainly not! This "Red Chinese Threat" is a bugaboo—a harmless scarecrow. Red China can't even feed itself, much less conduct a full scale war! "Nationalist" China (Formosa)—with its 2 million well-trained and well-equipped troops—would defeat Red China all by itself!

Another solution to "The Viet Nam Problem" would be to give Japan a mandate to keep the peace in Southeast Asia. Japan would end the strife in short order!

Still another solution to the Viet Nam war would be as follows: Ship only the sons and daughters of the government officials and Cabinet members and bureaucrats to be the soldiers and nurses in Southeast Asia. This would really end the Viet Nam fiasco in a hurry! But it will drag along for years as long as Christian patriots are being maimed and slaughtered.

But why does not the U. S. want to win this brush war? The answer is obvious: The socialists, one-worlders, reds and pro-communists in our Departments of State, Defense and War do not want us to rescue Southeast Asia from the reds. It would spoil their master-plan of one-world U. N. control! That is why we must win in Viet Nam—in spite of these subversive elements in our government!

H. S. Riecke, Jr., Founder
Paul Revere Associated Yoemen, Inc.
P. O. Box 19001, New Orleans 19, La.

The Hand that Feeds You

—o— Continued from page 2 —o—

ERS FEDERATION and the JEWISH SOCIALIST FEDERATION, whose declared aim was the overthrow of the only government in the world that had given the diaspora freedom and opportunity.

But join they did, and on December 3, 1921, these two Jewish organizations joined with five other anarchic groups to issue a convention call to organize the Worker's Party of America. The Worker's Party of America was formed as an open and legal organization to further the planned destruction of our nation while the Communist Party of America remained underground.

What caused the Rosenbergs, the Golds, the Greenglasses, the Oppenheims, plus hundreds of others, to turn against the nation which had given them sanctuary and the privileges of citizenship? Why did they wish to aid our enemies who had sworn to bury us? Why?

Perhaps Rabbi Stephen Wise's comment in 1921 after having spent some sixteen months in Russia is apropos: "Some call it Communism, we call it Judaism."

Patrick Henry Put It This Way

Patrick Henry, a lawyer, a statesman and orator by will and grace, a patriot, heart and soul, was a member of the House of Burgesses, but he was also an individual. In March, 1775, before the Virginia Provincial Convention, alone, with only his faith to protect him, he stood up against the hostile majority to utter words which we still remember. Perhaps we should ponder those words uttered at another time of crisis in American history. One man with Faith, constitutes a majority against a world that is usually wrong.

"Should I keep back my opinion at such a time, through fear of giving offense, I should consider myself as guilty of treason towards the majesty of Heaven, which I revere above all earthly kings. Are we disposed to be of the number of those who, having eyes, see not, and having ears, hear not, the things which so nearly concern their temporal salvation? For my part, whatever anguish of spirit it may cost, I am willing to know the whole truth; to know the worst and to provide for it.

They tell us, sir, that we are weak; unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger? Will it be the next week or the next year? Shall we gather strength by irresolution and inaction? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our backs and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies have us bound hand and foot?

Sir, we are not weak, if we make proper use of those means which the God of nature hath placed in our power. Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us.

The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave. Besides, sir, we have no election. If we were base enough to desire it, it is now too late to retire from the contest. There is no retreat, but in submission and slavery!

It is vain, sir, to extenuate the matter. Gentlemen may cry, Peace, peace—but there is no peace. Why stand we here idle? What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have?

Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery?

Forbid it, Almighty God!

I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!"

—o— Read and Be Informed —o—

Freedom's Frontier

By Edward L. Delaney

FREEDOM'S FRONTIER is the kind of book this country needs badly. Only a writer with first hand knowledge, a passion for truth and the ability to write forcefully, can undo the brainwashing the American public has been subjected to for the past several Red Decades. The Washington appeasement policy must be converted into constructive anti-communism. Soviet expansion must be checked, the Captive Nations must be liberated, the Iron Curtain must disappear in Europe, the Berlin Wall must come down and Castroism must expire in Cuba. Mr. Delaney's FREEDOM'S FRONTIER is a book-bomb promoting these liberations.

—Prof. Austin J. App, Ph. D.
La Salle College, Phila.

**ALSO EXPOSES THE TRUTH
ABOUT RADIO FREE EUROPE**

Paperback 211 pages with index \$1.50

Order from:

Christian Educational Assn.
Box 807, Union, N. J. 07083

Jewish Penetration

—o— Please turn to page 3 —o—

aware that the Christian Church has other appropriate Sacred Offices to which they might have presented their problems.

How unlike is this Zionist oriented group to the patriotic and religious American Jewish group (American Council for Judaism) whose philosophy is based upon the concept that Judaism is a religion of universal values, rather than a nationality; that encourages American Jews to meet obligations in public affairs, religion and philanthropy in ways compatible with their religious beliefs, rather than in the Jewish nationalist pattern of Zionism.

Christians have cause to be morally indignant and politically aghast at the implication in the forceful presentation of the question of anti-Semitism by the Zionist oriented Jews. It is the hope of all Christians that the Council Fathers will review the happenings occurring at the third session and will avoid further dissension stemming from a problem that is wholly alien to the very purpose of the Council itself. Any further attempt by the political gentry among the Jews, through their proselyting to further pressure the Council Fathers, will bring upon themselves the charge of "saboteurs." This charge will make them suspect forever as genuine promoters for good to honest Judeo-Christian relationships.

A few months now remain before the fourth and last session of the Ecumenical Council. This period should afford the Zionist politicians ample time to review the folly of their efforts and to resolve not to continue to inject themselves into the Ecumenical Council. And the Council Fathers had better use this period to reflect upon the calamitous effects of allowing the Jewish question to enter into the Ecumenical Council's considerations, which prime considerations were to have been of Christian Unity.

"Fundamentally, Judaism is ANTI-Christian."

—Jewish World, March 15, 1923

Help Us To Help You

If you are not receiving Common Sense:

Did you give your COMPLETE name and address?

Did you write legibly?

Did you renew your subscription when it was due?

Hundreds of pieces of mail are returned to us because of incorrect or incomplete address. Is yours among them?

We are waging a daily battle for survival. If you have not renewed your subscription, we are forced by circumstances as well as postal regulations to remove your name from our mailing list. Don't let this happen to you.

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S.	
	U. S. CANADA	CANADA FOREIGN
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00
Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year		
BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED		
20 copies	\$1.00	
100 copies	4.00	
500 copies	15.00	
1000 copies	20.00	

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.
530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083